

BioFach 2018

lach : bruns

Taking a closer look.

***Pesticides and
contaminants in
organic products***

Dr. Silke Bruns, 15. February 2018



This presentation is about
analytical performances
of laboratories

AGENDA

Ring tests “basics”

Un-announced Ring tests
But: **VISIBLE** test character

Undercover Ring tests

Ring tests “Basics”



BACK TO THE
BASICS

What is a ring test?

A ring test (also called as proficiency test) is an **inter-laboratory test** that allows to **evaluate the performance of testing laboratories**, and is based on analysis of **similar homogeneous samples**. [...] to enable laboratories to assess and improve their [...] analysis performance.

Why should labs participate in ring tests?

A ring test offers to a laboratory a **possibility of external quality assessment** of the analytical results it produces.

Source: Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nations

Ring tests “Basics”

Normal Ringtest Design



How ring tests
are usually
designed

- the laboratory is informed about the sample arrival in advance, as the lab applied for taking part in the test in advance.
- the samples are usually analysed with special care (≠ routine)
- the scope of the spiked pesticides is limited and a list of possibly spiked pesticides is known to the lab
- turn-around-time varies between several weeks

Ring tests “Basics”

Ring test samples versus Routine Samples

- unannounced arrival



- short turn-around times (48 hours or even less)



- the kind and level of pesticide residues are not known



- Ring test samples are **always homogenates**.
Thus the first and crucial steps (sample preparation and homogenisation) are not checked in ring tests.

*Puree – instead
of non-chopped
samples*



Un-announced Ring tests But: VISIBLE test character

Closing the gap between Ring test samples and Routine Samples

Unannounced,
And Unexpected

- Un-announced arrival: The entire ring test is not known at all to the laboratories. It does not know if, when and what kind of test will take place / or not.
- VISIBLE test character: The test sample is sent together with an instruction letter to the laboratory → The lab then is informed: *"This is a test sample."*
- Short turn-around times: The laboratory is instructed to deliver results within a few days (< 1 week).
- The kind and level of pesticide residues are not known.

SURPRISE!



BNN Un-announced Ring tests (visible test character):

Apple matrix

= some kind of “all around matrix”, common product for
pesticide laboratories



BNN Un-announce ring test: Apple Chips (Sept. 2016)



26 labs participated

**10
pesticides
spiked in
total**

Pesticide	spiked level (mg/kg)
Carbendazim	0,023
Carbofuran	0,015
Cyflufenamid	0,055
Folpet	0,045
Phthalimid	0,030
Spirodiclofen	0,048
Tebuconazol	0,015
THPI	0,052
Ethephon	0,078
Phosphonic	0,16

**At conc.
levels
between
0,015
mg/kg and
0,16 mg/kg**



BNN Un-announced ring test: Apple Chips (Sept. 2016)



7 out of 26 participating laboratories identified
and quantified all analytes correctly (27%)

Hmmm ...

Brilliant

None of the laboratories reported false positive results.

False negative results were reported for Folpet (one lab), THPI (one lab) and Phosphonic acid (one lab).

Could be worse



BNN Un-announced Ring tests (visible test character):

Tea matrix

= challenging matrix, only established in specialised
laboratories for tea analyses





BNN Un-announced Ring test: Tea (May 2013)



16 labs participated

**8
pesticides
spiked in
total**

Pesticides	Spiking level mg/kg
Acetamiprid	0,042
Bifenthrin	0,058
Carbendazim	0,033
Chlorfenapyr	0,145
Clothianidin	0,145
Endosulfan-Sulfat	0,027
pp-DDE	0,025
pp-DDT	0,095

**At conc.
levels
between
0,025
mg/kg and
0,145
mg/kg**



BNN Un-announced ring test: Tea (May 2013)

2 out of 16 participating laboratories identified and quantified all analytes correctly (13%)



False positive results: p,p'-DDD (9 times) and o,p'-DDT (3 times)



False negative results were reported for Chlorfenapyr (two labs), Endosulfan-sulfate (one lab) and Anthraquinone (eight labs).



Undercover Ring tests

Test character NOT known

Closing the gap between Ring test samples and Routine Samples

**REAL-LIFE
CHALLENGE**

- Unannounced arrival: The date for sending the test sample to the laboratory is NOT known in advance.
- Test character NOT visible: The test sample is sent by official clients to the laboratory (thus NOT by BNN)→ The lab is NOT informed about the test character.
- routine turn-around times: The laboratory is instructed to deliver results as agreed with the client (usually < 1 week).
- the kind and level of pesticide residues are not known to the laboratory.

UNDERCOVER



BNN UNDERCOVER ring test: Matcha tea (March 2017)



7 labs participated unknowingly

7
pesti-
cides
in
total

Pesticide	spiking level (mg/kg)
Anthraquinone	0.016
Bifenthrin	0.095
Lindane	0.023
Phthalimide	0.071
Trifluralin	0.019
Nicotine	incurred (AV: 0,073)
Perchlorate	incurred (AV: 0,270)

At conc.
levels
between
0,016 and
0,27 mg/kg



Undercover Ring tests



BNN UNDERCOVER ring test: Matcha tea (March 2017)

2 out of 7 participating laboratories identified and quantified all parameters correctly (29 %).

Hmmm ...

Damn ...

One laboratories reported a result, which was considered false positive (Chlorate instead of Perchlorate).

False negative results were reported: Anthraquinone (3 labs), Lindane (2 labs), Trifluralin (2 labs), Bifenthrin (1 lab)

Could be worse ...



BNN / Synabio Un-announced Ring tests (visible test character):

Flour (cereal) matrix

= challenging matrix, only established in specialised
laboratories for cereal analyses





BNN / Synabio Un-announced ring test: Cereal flour (Dec. 2017)



20 labs participated

**8
pesticides
spiked in
total**

Pesticide	Spiked level (mg/kg)
<i>AMPA</i>	<i>0,048</i>
<i>Glyphosat</i>	<i>0,037</i>
<i>Trimethylsulfonium iodide</i>	<i>0,063</i>
<i>beta-Cyfluthrin</i>	<i>0,037</i>
<i>Diflufenican</i>	<i>0,033</i>
<i>Piperonylbutoxid</i>	<i>0,1</i>
<i>Pirimiphos-methyl</i>	<i>0,023</i>
<i>Sulfoxaflor</i>	<i>0,067</i>

**At conc.
levels
between
0,023
mg/kg and
0,1 mg/kg**



BNN / Synabio Un-announced ring test: Cereal flour (Dec. 2017)



7 out of 20 participating laboratories quantified all eight parameters correctly (35%)



Brilliant




None of the laboratories reported false positive results.

Only one false negative result was reported for Glyphosate.

Looks
Good!



Conclusions

- BNN **un-announced** ring tests: 
Closing the gap between the analytical challenge of (announced) Ring test samples versus Routine Samples
- BNN **undercover** ring tests: 
Real life challenge, as the test character is not known at all to the (unknowingly participating) laboratories
- Both approaches (un-announced / undercover) better reflect the routine analytical performances of laboratories, also related to “all around products” like apples. 
- Analytical performances are also strongly related to the challenge caused by the product-matrix itself:

tea: 

flour (cereals): 



Thank you

Merci

Grazie

Gracias

Danke

.....